

**REMARKS**


In an Action mailed 23 August 2007 the Examiner rejected claims 1-2, 10-12, 14 and 16 under 35 USC 102(a) as anticipated. Claims 13 and 15 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious. Claims 3, 4 and 6-9 were indicated as directed to allowable subject matter but objected to as depending from rejected base claims. Claims 16 and 17 were allowed.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claims 2 and 3 and thus should now be allowable. The defective dependencies of claims 4 and 6-9 are cured by this amendment. Claims 2, 3 and 10-15 have been cancelled. New claims 18-21, which depend directly or indirectly from claim 16 are offered. Claims 18-21 add limitations found in now cancelled claims 11-15 and there addition here should raise no new issues. In substance the claims conform to the subject matter indicated allowable and nothing else. Claims 1, 4, 6-9 and 16-21 are active.

Applicant believes the Claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable action by the Examiner.

Date: 7 April 2008  
Fort Wayne, Indiana  
Tel. No. 260.407.3907

Respectfully submitted,

  
Paul W. O'Malley  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 31,048